

YA4-H! Youth Advocates for Health: Youth Participatory Action Research

Theresa M. Ferrari

The Ohio State University ferrari.8@osu.edu

Abstract

Youth participatory action research is a process that is particularly relevant for health-related topics. YA4-H! Youth Advocates for Health: Youth Participatory Action Research is a resource that youth development professionals can use to guide a group through such a project. The 124-page curriculum is based on key principles of youth development and youth participatory action research. It has 7 sections, each with activities built on the same template that includes the time needed, materials, and facilitator tips, which contributes to ease of use. Youth development professionals can feel confident knowing that the curriculum is research based and addresses adolescents' developmental needs.

Key words: youth participatory action research, health, youth-adult partnerships

Introduction

Youth participatory action research (YPAR) has become a popular approach for engaging youth in the exploration of community issues, with the ultimate goal of creating community change (Ozer, 2016a, 2016b). In general, participatory action research is a collaborative process that has three components (Ozer, 2016a, 2016b; Ozer, Ritterman, & Wanis, 2010; Powers & Allaman, 2012; Rodriguez & Brown, 2009):

- investigating meaningful social topics,
- participating in research to understand the root causes of problems, and
- taking leadership to disseminate the research findings to policymakers and stakeholders.

New articles in this journal are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. This journal is published by the <u>University Library System</u>, <u>University of Pittsburgh</u> and is cosponsored by the <u>University of Pittsburgh Press</u>. The Journal of Youth Development is the official peer-reviewed publication of the National Association of Extension 4-H Agents and the National AfterSchool Association.

YPAR has been used to investigate a wide array of health issues, including tobacco use (Haines-Saah, Oliffe, White, & Botoroff, 2013; Jardine & James, 2012), quality of school lunches (Reich, Kay, & Lin, 2015), environmental health (Madrigal et al., 2014), teen pregnancy (Noone et al., 2016), and suicide prevention (Lindquist-Grantz, 2017). Data collection methods are varied, ranging from observation and surveys, to interviews and focus groups, to photovoice and mapping techniques.

Curriculum Description

The *Youth Participatory Action Research* (*YPAR*) curriculum (Arnold & Gifford, 2015) is based on a youth-adult partnership model. It is one of three components of *YA4-H! Youth Advocates for Health* (YA4H), a teen leadership program developed at Oregon State University. The other two companion pieces address building successful youth—adult partnerships (Arnold & Gifford, 2014; Flesch, Lile, & Arnold, 2016) and teens as teachers (Arnold & Gifford, 2015; Arnold et al., 2016; Flesch, Lile, & Arnold, 2015).

Background

Key principles and a solid grounding in youth development research form the foundation of the curriculum. Youth leadership is crucial throughout participatory action research projects; thus, the authors note that a background in youth—adult partnerships is a prerequisite for successfully engaging in these projects (Arnold & Gifford, 2015). This does not mean that adults turn everything over to youth, but that there is shared power and give-and-take in the process (Ozer, 2016b). Table 1 summarizes key principles guiding YPAR projects as identified in the curriculum.

Table 1. Key Principles Guiding the Youth Participatory Action Research Curriculum (Anyon, Bender, Kennedy, & Dechants, 2018; Arnold & Gifford, 2015)

Principle	Description		
Participation	Programs engage youth in partnership with adults to experience the full		
	cycle of social science research, from problem identification to taking		
	action.		
Inquiry	 Programs engage youth to think critically about issues that affect them 		
	and their communities.		
	 Programs are active, where research is the means to an end, and the 		
	knowledge created is translated into action		
	 Programs are practical; they address real community issues 		
Transformation	 Programs help youth envision the future and create change. 		
	 Programs emphasize social justice and empowerment of youth. 		
	 Programs directly engage youth, and thus may be more effective in 		
	eliminating health disparities.		

Format

The *YPAR* curriculum has seven sections, plus an introduction and a handouts section (see Table 2). There is an emphasis on learning through interactive methods. All the activities include the time, materials, and facilitator tips, as well as the activity's purpose, skills developed, room set-up, instructions, and discussion questions. Activity instructions are detailed enough, but brief and to the point, which makes them easy to use.

The curriculum integrates the key processes of YPAR. Power sharing is one of these processes (Anyon, Bender, Kennedy, & Dechants, 2018; Ozer et al., 2010), and it is embodied in the youth—adult partnership approach. Training and practice in research skills is another YPAR key process (Ozer, 2016a; Ozer et al., 2010). Thus, the section on data analysis is particularly relevant, as youth do not always get to participate in this aspect of a project (Foster-Fishman, Law, Lichty, & Aoun, 2010; Shamrova & Cummings, 2017). A third process is building alliances with stakeholders (Anyon et al., 2018), emphasized in the "Sharing Your Story" and "Taking Action" sections. The "Reflection and Evaluation" section completes the experiential learning cycle.

Table 2. Description of Youth Participatory Action Research Curriculum Contents (Arnold & Gifford, 2015)

Section	Number of activities	Description
Introduction		Provides bacthe kground, outlines key principles, gives an
		overview of a YPAR project, and provides a program logic model.
All Together Now!		Includes activities designed to help participants get to know
	4	each other so they can work together effectively; combining
		this section with at least 4 hours from Building Youth-Adult
		Partnerships (Arnold & Gifford, 2014) is recommended.
Asking Questions:		Engages youth in identifying areas of concern, selecting a
What Do You Want to	5	focus, and generating research questions.
Know?		
Collecting and		Reviews the various options for collecting data to answer
Managing Data	5	their research questions and gives opportunities to practice
		collecting data by observation, interviews, surveys, and focus
		groups.
Making Sense of It All:	2	Illustrates techniques for organizing, analyzing, and
Analyzing Your Data		summarizing data.
Sharing Your Story:		Helps youth summarize and prepare to present their process
Communicating Your	3	and findings to appropriate audiences.
Research		
Taking Action: Using		Helps youth identify stakeholders and analyze potential
Research to Improve	2	barriers and facilitating factors, with an emphasis on decision
Your Community		making, strategic planning, and teamwork.
Reflection and	4	Aids in reflecting on what was learned and how to apply it in
Evaluation		other settings.
Handouts		Contains handouts that correspond to specific activities in the
		curriculum; handouts are listed under the materials heading
		in each activity as needed. In addition to the handouts, there
		are supplemental materials on a website
		http://oregon.4h.oregonstate.edu/projects/ya4-h/research

Considerations for Youth Development Professionals

Research points to outcomes associated with YPAR at the individual, organizational, and community levels (Anyon et al., 2018; Ozer, 2016b; Ozer, 2017; Ozer et al., 2010; Shamrova & Cummings, 2017). A recent review concluded that it is likely the principles and processes involved in YPAR, rather than the research topic, that generate these results (Anyon, et al., 2018). In addition to these benefits, youth development professionals should consider the following points:

- 1. Having a high quality, organized curriculum available can be a deciding factor for whether to tackle a complex process such as YPAR. Although there are multiple sources and toolkits outlining YPAR concepts and the steps involved, actually having the activities to provide structure for the process sets the *YPAR* curriculum apart.
- 2. Although all youth will benefit from this approach, YPAR may have particular relevance for audiences considered to be underserved or marginalized (Anyon et al., 2018).
- 3. Those who want to undertake a YPAR project should consider the time commitment involved. Community change takes time, and therefore YPAR requires multiple sessions. The *YPAR* curriculum provides a suggested timetable. If the group has not worked together previously, it may take even more time to build a foundation of a trusting relationship between youth and adults. The curriculum is designed to span an academic year, and it could be used in community or in-school settings (Ozer et al. [2010] discuss the benefits and challenges of both settings).
- 4. The data collection methods included in the curriculum are observation, survey, interviews, and focus groups. Those interested in other YPAR techniques, such as photovoice and mapping, will need to supplement this curriculum with other resources (e.g., Partnership for the Public's Health, 2009).

Conclusion

The benefits of YPAR make it worthy of consideration by youth development professionals. Youth development professionals who take advantage of this resource will not only find it easy to use, they can feel confident knowing that it is research based and by design addresses adolescents' developmental needs.

Ordering Information

Title: YA4-H! Youth Advocates for Health: Youth Participatory Action Research

Contact: Mary E. Arnold, Ph.D.

Ballard Extension Hall 105, 2591 SW Campus Way

Corvallis, OR 97331

541-737-1315

mary.arnold@oregonstate.edu

Cost: \$80 + \$5 shipping to continental United States

References

- Anyon, Y., Bender, K., Kennedy, H., & Dechants, J. (2018). A systematic review of youth participatory action research (YPAR) in the United States: Methodologies, youth outcomes, and future directions. *Health Education and Behavior*. doi: 10.1177/1090198118769357
- Arnold, M. E., Flesch, J. M., Ashton, C., Black, L., Brody, B., Hosty, M., & Northway, S. (2016). YA4-H! Youth advocates for health: Impact of a 4-H teens-as-teachers program. *Journal of Extension*, *54*(6), Article 6RIB5. Retrieved from https://www.joe.org/joe/2016december/rb5.php
- Arnold, M. E., & Gifford, L. N. (Eds.). (2014). *YA4-H! Youth advocates for health: Building successful youth-adult partnerships*. Corvallis: Oregon State University Public Health Extension.
- Arnold, M. E., & Gifford, L. (Eds.). (2015). *YA4-H! Youth advocates for health: Youth participatory action research.* Corvallis: Oregon State University Public Health Extension.
- Flesch, J. M., Lile, J. R., & Arnold, M. E. (2015). YA4-H! Youth advocates for health: Teens as teachers. *Journal of Youth Development, 10*(2), Article151002RR001. doi: 10.5195/jyd.2015.414
- Flesch, J. M., Lile, J. R., & Arnold, M. E. (2016). Review of the YA4-H! Youth advocates for health:

 Building successful youth-adult partnerships training curriculum. *Journal of Youth Development,*11(1), Article 161101RR003. doi: 10.5195/jyd.2016.440
- Foster-Fishman, P., Law, K. M., Lichty, L. F., & Aoun, C. (2010). Youth ReACT for social change: A method for youth participatory action research. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 46,* 67-83. doi: 10.1007/s10464-010-9316-y
- Haines-Saah, R. J., Oliffe, J. L., White, C. F., & Botoroff, J. L. (2013). "It's just not part of the culture here": Young adults' photo-narratives about smoking, quitting, and healthy lifestyles in Vancouver, Canada. *Health and Place, 22,* 19-38. doi: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2013.02.004

- Jardine, C. G., & James, A. (2012). Youth researching youth: Benefits, limitations and ethical considerations within a participatory research process. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health*, *71*, Article 18415. doi: 10.3402/ijch.v71i0.18415
- Lindquist-Grantz, R. (2017). *Youth participatory action research as a strategy for addressing adolescent suicide prevention.* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Cincinnati, Ohio. Retrieved from http://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc_num=ucin149131648280023
- Madrigal, D., Salvatore, A., Casillas, G., Casillas, C., Vera, I., Eskenazi, B., & Minkler, M. (2014). Health in my community: Conducting and evaluating photovoice as a tool to promote environmental health and leadership among Latino/a youth. *Progress in Community Health Partnerships, 8*(3), 317-329. doi: 10.1353/cpr.2014.0034
- Noone, J., Sullivan, M., Castillo McKinnis, N., Allen, T. L., Regalado, C., & Esqueda, T. (2016). Latino youth participation in community-based participatory research to reduce teen pregnancy disparities. Children and Youth Services Review, 63, 36-39. doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2016.02.011
- Ozer, E. J. (2016a). Youth-led participatory action research. In L. A. Jason & D. S. Glenwick (Eds.), Handbook of methodological approaches to community-based research: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods (pp. 263-272). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Ozer, E. J. (2016b). Youth-led participatory action research: Developmental and equity perspectives. In S. S. Horn, M. D. Ruch, & L. S. Liben (Eds.), *Equity and justice in developmental science: Theoretical and methodological issues* (pp. 189-207). J. B. Benson (Series Ed.), *Advances in child development and behavior* (Vol. 50). Cambridge, MA: Academic Press/Elsevier. doi: 10.1016/bs.acdb.2015.11.006
- Ozer, E. J. (2017). Youth-led participatory action research: Overview and potential for enhancing adolescent development. *Child Development Perspectives, 11*(3), 173-177. doi: 10.111/cdep.12228
- Ozer, E., Ritterman, M. L., & Wanis, M. G. (2010). Participatory action research (PAR) in middle school: Opportunities, constraints, and key processes. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, *46*(1), 153-2-166.
- Partnership for the Public's Health. (2009). *Photovoice as a tool for youth policy advocacy.* Retrieved from http://www.partnershipph.org/publications/
- Powers, C. B., & Allaman, E. (2012, December). *How participatory action research can promote social change and help youth development* (Berkman Center Research Publication No. 2013-10).

 Cambridge, MA: Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society at Harvard Law School. Retrieved from https://ssrn.com/abstract=2199500

- Reich, S. M., Kay, J. S., & Lin, G. C. (2015). Nourishing a partnership to improve middle school lunch options: A community-based participatory research project. *Family and Community Health, 38*(1), 77-86. doi: 0.1097/FCH.0000000000000055
- Rodriguez, L. F., & Brown, T. M. (2009). From voice to agency: Guiding principles for participatory action research with youth. *New Directions for Youth Development, 123,* 19-34. doi: 10.1002yd.312
- Shamrova, D. P., & Cummings, C. E. (2017). Participatory action research (PAR) with children and youth:

 An integrative review of methodology and PAR outcomes for participants, organizations, and communities. *Children and Youth Services Review, 81,* 400-412. doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2017.08.022